Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/825,590	KAMMANN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shane Bomar	3672
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u>		
(1) <u>Shane Bomar</u> .	(3)	
(2) Guy McClung.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 August 2007	Time: <u>10:50am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
The Examiner contacted Attorney McClung because it has been more than 7 months since the last Office Action was mailed. Mr. McClung stated that no response will be filed in reply to the Action.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur 	n. The examiner will provide a grate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Framinar/CDE Cignatura)	slinant/Applicant's Pagracation	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	nicani/Applicant's Represental	ive digitature – ii appropriate)